

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,145	02/11/2005	Volker Hennige	264624US0XPCT	2408	
OBLON SPIX	7590 11/26/200 VAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			BEST, ZACHARY P		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/26/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/524,145	HENNIGE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Zachary Best	1795	

	Zachary Best	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 Sign reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		20(a) and the annualist	
Extensions of little ring be duranted united 37 GFR.1.13(q), The date that been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 GFR.1.17(q) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) is how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 24-33</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hafara as an the data of Elina a Nic	tion of Annualill not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
- —			
/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the ion-conducting composite of Hying et al. is not necessarily nonelectroconductive. Examiner first notes that the term 'nonelectroconductive' is relative as many insulating materials can be forced to conduct electrons including metal oxides. Regardless, Hying et al. teaches preferred compounds for the coating may be zirconium dioxide, silicon dioxide, and aluminum dioxide (col. 6, lines 43-44), which is claimed in the instant invention (Claim 7). Therefore, if Applicant believes that the compound of Hying et al is not necessarily nonelectroconductive then the claimed invention would not be nonelectroconductive.

Applicant further argues that Hying et al. is nonanalogous to the battery arts because it gives examples of fuel cells using the invention of Hying et al. However, the invention of Hying et al. Is directed to ion-conducting materials and electrochemical excitons (col. 1, lines 6-19). Therefore, it is Examiner's position that one skilled in the art of batteries, which is a subset of electrochemical technologies, would look to inventions that may be applied to the electrochemical technologies (exceled) those requiring an ion conduction membrane is as a whole.